



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/690,626

10/23/2003

Robert J. Small

060937-217-US

5914

7590 04/21/2008
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER

CHEN, KIN CHAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1792

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/21/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/690,626	SMALL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kin-Chan Chen	1792	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kin-Chan Chen. (3) _____.

(2) Laba Karki. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: all prior art applied.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Dr. Karki argued that after phosphonic acids and phosphonates were deleted, Wieserman does not teach the limitations. Dr. Karki also wants to address the importance of "at least a portion of the function groups are no further than about 7 Angstroms from another functional group." The examiner will reconsider his position in view of this interview and the next formal submission.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kin-Chan Chen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.